



political  
fascism  
military  
peace freedom

Periodical Newsletter

July 2024

Issue 3

ANTE-FASCISM

بازپیدایی  
فاشیسم

سرديبر  
علی ناظر

Next Issue  
Contributors Invited

Looking at

- Needs for conflict escalation
- Export of conflict to the East
- Accepting state of fascism as a new norm
- Victimisation of morality

## Is it Now Excuse Enough for IRI to Develop Nuke?

Shhhhh!!

There is a  
War Going  
on, but in  
Democracy

Noone  
should  
talk about it

Right!!!

Letter to Editor

### Why should Haniyeh's murder be condemned?

Dear Editor

The killing of Qassem Soleimani by the United States, and now the assassination of Ismail Haniyeh by Mossad agents, indicate the legitimisation of the assassination of personalities by a non-national country. As a rule, "terror" can be defined as creating horrific disturbance in the body of the "ruling system" and informing the supporters of a movement about the choice of a "military tactic."

What is prominent in these two cases is that the legalization of the assassination of political/military figures by the attacking country, is in line with the political-military objectives of the assassin. Here, hostilities have deviated from their normal and generally ac-


Continue page 8

## Haniyeh Was assassinated in Tehran



ویژه نامه قتل اسماعیل هنیه

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



### Abstract

Today's missile attack on Tehran, and assassination of Ismail Haniyeh could escalate the war in the Gaza to a wider arena, begs the question of the IRI's stance on developing nuclear bomb, as a preventative measure against continual aggression of Israel and the USA against the IRI's interests in the region.

One could ask a hypothetical question that if Israel has dared to assassinate Haniyeh in Tehran, would it not be the one to have brought down the ex-President Ibrahim Raissi's helicopter too?

The furies mounting in Tehran, particularly that the IRI can lose face should it not respond to the attack on its soil. The question is to enter an all-out war with Israel and USA, or just develop a nuclear bomb? The following looks at this academic question.

**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering  
Around The  
World To  
Dominate The  
Globe**

### Pezeshkian's factor


With Pezeshkian coming to power in the guise of Khrushchev in the new era of the Islamic Republic of Iran's (IRI) revisionism, the suspicious world is devising a new plan to comprehend Pezeshkian's gentle approach, and to ascertain whether he is really interested in collaboration, reinvigorating Iran as a reliable partner, and recognizing the need for an essentially comprehensive deal that prevents Iran from becoming a nuclear power, or whatever he said were just pre-electioneering pose, and the IRI will continue the path it chose in 1979. Will Pezeshkian mark a new era in when the IRI ends spreading its wings as far as the Mediterranean Sea, Latin America, and Africa, organising proxy groups in the geo-politically important countries? Naturally, a revision can only be meaningful when the current strategic depth is revised, and the IRI distances itself from influencing the Middle East (West Asia) current events. Some analysts believe the IRI is about to revise its strategic depth and pull back from all the concerned geo-political locations, replacing it by acquiring a nuclear capability, as a means for reducing tensions and continually aggressive posturing against its existence.



There is a hardline thinking that advocates aggressive approach toward the IRI is the only practicable path that could prevent the IRI from reaching to the point of no return.

On the eve of Pezeshkian's inauguration as the IRI President, Israel has accused Hezbollah of launching a rocket attack on the Golan Heights that killed several residents, including some children. Hezbollah has denied involvement, and accused Israel of inciting the volatile situation in the region to start off yet a new

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering  
Around  
The World  
To Dominate  
The Globe**



conflict which may well bring IRI to the equation. Accordingly, rumours have been circulating on media outlets close to IRI that there is a strong possibility of a large-scale Israeli attack on Lebanon which could open a new front in that cursed region. The IRI has warned Israel against such an action in the strongest possible terms that attack on Lebanon will meet with a strong reaction from the IRI. World is worried that getting the IRI involved may bring the whole region to an all-out war, sparks of which could eventually burn the West's interest into ashes.

Ironically, not long ago, when Netanyahu was away from the political scene for a hernia operation, Israel attacked the consulate of the Islamic Republic of Iran in Damascus, which eventually sparked a tit-for-tat between the IRI and Israel, known as the True Promise, when IRI launched over 300 Drones and some ballistic missiles at Israel. Should USA, Britain, France, and Turkiye not get involved in defending Israel, current stand-off might have a different status. Similarly, the Golan Height explosions, took place, once again when Netanyahu was away on an official trip to the USA to warn the Congress of the IRI's danger to peace and security of the region and indeed the USA itself. The IRI insists that the explosions in the Golan Height was due to the mal-function of the Iron-Dom, and it was the faulty Dom that fired at the Golan Height.


To make matters more complex, Erdogan of Turkey – a NATO member - has warned Israel that Turkish army may enter Palestine to put an end to atrocities enacted by the Israeli Army and to prevent Israel extending war to Lebanon – “enter the conflict as it had done in others” – meaning Libya and Syria. Israel Katz reminds Erdogan of how Saddam Hussain ended up - “Just let him remember what happened there and how it ended.”

Regardless of whether these rumours are true, and whether Turkey could risk its financial and political gains just to defend Palestinians is unclear, nonetheless, the warmongers are planning for the last onslaught to intensify the flame of war and let West Asia burn for a while, anticipating the IRI would get involved. John Bolton inciting expansion of war, tells The Telegraph that “The West may now have no option but to attack Iran....Tehran will only accept it has miscalculated if it faces significant costs for its recent acts of aggression”.

### Strategic Depth

After the end of the eight-year war with Saddam Hussein, and the various military pressures exerted by the Mojahedin-e Khalq (MEK) in Operation Eternal Light, and other left wing armed op-

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



position forces based in Iraq enlightened, convinced Khomain and his followers in the IRI that a more comprehensive strategy needs to be thought of. This thought was eventually encouraged by the American and coalition invasion of Afghanistan and then Iraq.

The invasion of two countries on its doorsteps convinced the IRI that current defensive military doctrine needs to be redrawn and the strategic depth on its western borders needs to be expanded to at least 30 kilometres inside the Iraqi territory. This need was confirmed when the ISIS entered the calculation, and both Syria and Iraq crumbled under the control of ISIS.

**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering  
Around  
The World  
To Dominate  
The Globe**


The IRI revised its defence doctrine and concluded that the strategic depth of 30 kilometres was not enough and that it had to change it yet again, from a mere physical security of the border strip to a strategy incorporated with the ideological ingredient. For this purpose, and with the direct intervention of Qassem Soleimani and the Quds Force, in Iraq, Yemen, Syria, and Lebanon, the IRI began to train and prepare in-house forces organized and subservient to the Quds. The new militia forces not only received training from highly expert commandos of the Quds, but put their newly acquired skills to practice too, while fighting the ISIS in Iraq and Syria. The Houthis were tested when the Saudi Arabia, Al-Qaeda, and UAE forces began their incursion into Yemen. Once again, the USA, British, French, et al supplied arms to the invaders, and began to train them in Saudi Arabia, hoping that Yemen conflict could come to an easy and speedy conclusion in their favour. This was a miscalculation that had kept Saudis involved for many years and eventually Houthis were announced the winner, when Houthis proved to have become more proficient in guerrilla and asymmetric operations. Similarly, the popular mobilizations in Iraq, Yemen, and Syria were able not only to defeat ISIS, the U.S. and coalition forces, but also to persuade countries such as Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, which claimed to be a monotheist in the Arab world, to recognize the IRI as a capable and competent force in the region.



Following the ceasefire between Yemen and Saudi Arabia, and the silence of the lull getting the grip in that front, Al-Aqsa Storm awaken many of those who had fallen into hibernation with shock. Israel's retaliatory attacks on the defenceless people of Gaza and the brutal murder of civilians and children forced the IRI to adopt yet a new strategy to change its "strategic depth."

The Israeli response proved that the underground tunnels in Ga-

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering  
Around  
The World  
To Dominate  
The Globe**



za and other locations in the occupied territories, or the Hezbollah's armed to the teeth, could no longer guarantee the security and existence of the IRI.


The IRI needed to test the water and see how the response would be should it attack Israel. In retaliation to bombardment of its consulate in Damascus, yet in a measured, limited and pre-propagated operation of the True Promise, the IRI attacked Israel and displayed some of its sharp teeth. Some believe that this risky and ambitious military exercise might have cost the IRI dearly, when the helicopter of then-President Raisi crashed with no apparent technical reasons, which forced the IRI to an early and hurried election of a new President. Nevertheless, the Operation True Promise, had also suggested that the IRI was close to producing an atomic bomb, and may even have a few atomic bombs in its arsenal. That is why it took such a risk of attacking Israel.

Whether the True Promise was a success or just a mere show of outdated drones that could not get through the Iron Dom, it dampened the flames of tension between Israel and the IRI.

Israel meanwhile could claim that the infrastructure of Hamas was largely destroyed, and Hamas' military structure was made inoperable for the foreseeable future; hence Israel has achieved its utmost military objective. The second objective was achieved through a peaceful means, when China invited all the Palestinian factions, who eventually agreed to form a national unity government. This indirectly confirmed that the two-state plan is back on the agenda, and existence of Israel is now guaranteed by all the factions. Israel can now enter the next phase of annihilation of Hezbollah in Lebanon. If the new front is opened with Hezbollah, one can be sure that Israel has concluded that before it tackles the IRI might, it should initially remove all its tentacle and arms which have surrounded Israel. This could, however, be considered by the IRI as the last straw. In view of the above, the IRI, particularly after the election of Pezeshkian, may finally come to conclusion that it is best to retrieve back inside its own recognised frontiers, and let the proxy forces fight on their own lands. That requires a stronger hand to prevent any potentially risk of aggression.

Pezeshkian's interactionist stance, may well be the sign that the IRI has changed its defence doctrine yet again, and arming itself with nuclear bombs could be its version of "Iron Dom". But leaving its allies/proxy groups alone in the mouth of the lion may be encountered with a strong protest within the IRI's established theocratic regime, who suggests both nuclear bomb and to

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering Around  
The World  
To Dominate  
The Globe**



maintain these groups with technical know-how, and allow them to stockpile an arsenal that lasts war with Israel and its allies.


Now, what happened in China, when all the active Palestinian factions reached national reconciliation and agreed to act within the framework of the UN resolutions and commit themselves to the two states of Israel and Palestine, may well guarantee of Israel existence, yet may well not be agreeable to the warmongers who want to disintegrate the large countries in the Middle East into a smaller and more manageable states. This could not be achieved unless a devastating war engulf the Middle East. Nevertheless, such a war cannot begin, if IRI and the USA are not dragged in, and play the war game against one another, as expected from them.

The United States has always maintained that the policy is to keep the enemy as far away from its borders as possible. Whether it is the isolationist Trump, or Harris, who considers America's interference in the world affairs, is a natural condition to guarantee its hegemony within the next decade, Follow this doctrine. Neither will be willing to enter into this costly conflict that shows no light at the end of its tunnel.

For many years, the United States has been dependent upon the strategic depth well outside its borders. Getting involved in Korean and Vietnam wars took place when the USA believed in direct involvement in the conflicts. But this had eventually changed its nature to finance and supply proxy wars, and let others do the fighting. Formation of the Zionist state of Israel was partly to fulfil this purpose. Should the oil-rich countries want to rebel, such as 1967, or change sides from US/allies to the 'enemy', such as China or Russia, Israel could act as a proxy of the United States and make the Arab countries regret their stance. After the embarrassing and costly outcome of invading Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria, the United States was convinced (Republicans or Democrats) that the cost of direct military intervention is far greater for them than supplying and supporting its proxy to take the heavy blow (such as Iran-Iraq war, Saudi-Yemen war, India-Pakistan war, or the Yugoslavian war).

Israel is, however, a different kettle of fish. One cannot simply ignore the Zionist Israel due to its financial, political and ideological influence among the American elites, and treat it as if it is a mere Arab or South Asian country. For this, when the IRI began its True Promise launch of drones and missiles, the defence of Israel's airspace was covered by France, Britain, and the USA's might. It was however made clear to the Israelis that limited, minimal human cost, and guaranteed support is the maximum

## The Last Assault of the Warmongers



**US Neocons  
Are  
Warmongering Around  
The World  
To Dominate  
The Globe**

price that the United States and allies are prepared to pay. Netanyahu and fellow thinkers, however, argue that it is the D-day when the IRI must be removed even if the human cost is to be high. USA while strategically does not want Israel to be wiped off the map, is unwilling to participate in a war game that has no exit plan.

### **Nuclear weapons**

The IRI listens and analyses meticulously to all arguments for/against this war game. The only solution seemingly left to the IRI pressurised by the hegemonic world is to lean towards an Iran armed with nuclear weapons. The USA Presidents, one after the other, have repeatedly outlined their red line that the IRI will never become a nuclear power and all options are on the table.

Right-wing politicians, however, insists that a mere warning would not suffice. USA should destroy the IRI nuclear infrastructure now, before it is too late. They suggest, in the long run, entering a war of the Vietnam calibre would be more cost-efficient for the United States rather than an IRI with nuclear weapons in its arsenal; particularly that Khamenei's death, and change of leadership, could have an irreversible impact on Iran's defence doctrine. The last assault should take place before the doctrine is changed. The counterargument insists that the harder the push, the likelier the IRI jump ship and goes to the extreme, as North Korea did.



Far right group believed to be behind Southport riot

Independent:

Violent clashes took place outside a mosque in Southport on Tuesday night as the town mourns a knife attack that left three young girls dead on Monday.

Rioters mobilised as a vigil was due to take place for the three victims: Bebe King, six, Elsie Dot Stancombe, seven, and Alice Dasilva Aguiar, nine.

Expressing far-right views, the group gathered outside a mosque to protest against Islam after misinformation shared online suggested the attacker, 17, is Muslim.



## Why should Haniyeh's murder be condemned?

ceptable meaning, and any blind and aimless tactic to weaken the



hostile force or country, can now be put on the agenda.

When Israel targeted the Islamic Republic of Iran's consulate in Damascus, the Islamic Republic of Iran's military attack was legitimized. It legitimized and justified the hostile territory

to behave ad hoc. When Qassem Soleimani was killed, it became naturally agreeable for the IRI to attack a military base of a superpower. When Haniyeh is murdered, not in Palestine, but in a country that is not his homeland, murder of a political-military guest in a hostile country could become normal and acceptable.

**As of today, every person, from the heads of the opposition to ahead of a government, has become an agreeable symbol.**

Unfortunately, the countries that support Israel do not realize this, or if they do, they are happy with the continuation and expansion of the war in the West Asia. Indeed, if they are against terrorism, they should condemn this murder, otherwise they will open all doors and gates to the "blind terror"; and any murder can be justified.

A few days ago, a 17-year-old boy, originally from Rwanda but raised in Britain, stabbed and killed three young girls and injured many more in a blind and horrific attack. This horrific act can be justified because Britain, as an accomplice in the murder of tens of thousands of children in Palestine, must reciprocate in the same way. But is this logic justified?


Is this really the right approach to guarantee one's security? Blind killing, the killing of children and defenseless citizens, the killing of political figures when they are official guests in a foreign country?

Indeed, where does this extreme view end?

Ali Behrooz (Dr) - 31 July 2024



## Getting Closer to the Dooms Day



### To Defeat the Fascism, We Must Delegitimize the Ideology

Providence - Nadine Maenza & Brandon H. Wheeler (January 3, 2020 ) in an article suggest that Islam [IranCrises.info: Religion] is being instrumental in the hands of Fascists.

The rhetoric about the so-called "War on Terror" has led us down the wrong path for 20 years. Terrorism is a tactic. We are not at war with terror. Similarly, our fight is not against radical or extreme Islam. When it comes to the Middle East, particularly Turkey, Syria, Iraq, and Iran, it is imperative that we understand the true nature of the threat. We are fighting Islamic Fascism—the political idea in which state actors or nonstate actors are using Islam to rule people through the use of violence. This is not about Islam itself, but rather about belligerents hijacking the religion for their own political purposes. With this definition, it is clear that you can be a good Muslim and not be a fascist. This is the reality for thousands of Sunni Arabs who fought and died alongside Christians and Kurds against ISIS as members of the Syrian Democratic Forces.